

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/054,155	01/22/2002	William P. Darbie	10011309-1	5337	
7.	590 06/02/2005	EXAMINER			
	ECHNOLOGIES, INC.	NGUYEN, MAIKHANH			
Legal Departme	ent, DL429				
Intellectual Pro	perty Administration	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
P.O. Box 7599		2176			
Loveland, CO	80537-0599		DATE MAILED: 06/02/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

PTO-90C (Rev. 10/03)

7								
ţ		Application	n No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/054,155	5	DARBIE, WILLIAM	1 P.			
		Examiner		Art Unit				
		Maikhanh		2176				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nations of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. a period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply of period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no ever y within the statut will apply and will s, cause the applic	or, however, may a reply be time ory minimum of thirty (30) days expire SIX (6) MONTHS from to ation to become ABANDONED	ely filed will be considered timely he mailing date of this co 0 (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status								
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 17 M	larch 2005.						
2a) <u></u>	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This	action is no	n-final.					
3)[Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposit	ion of Claims							
4)🖂	Claim(s) 1-41 is/are pending in the application.							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)[Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠	Claim(s) 1-41 is/are rejected.							
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8)[8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Applicat	ion Papers							
9)[The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents			-(d) or (f).				
	Certified copies of the priority documents Certified copies of the priority documents			on No				
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior		• •	<u> </u>	Stage			
	application from the International Bureau	•		a iii ano manonai	O.ago			
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
			·					
Attachmen	nt(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)								
	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)		Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa)-152)			
	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (P10-1449 or P10/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date 01/22/2002.		6) Other:					

Art Unit: 2176

DETAILED ACTION

This action is responsive to communications: Response to Restriction Requirement filed
 03/17/2005 to the original application filed 01/22/2002; IDS filed 01/22/2002.

2. Applicant's argument to the restriction requirement filed 03/17/2005 is acknowledged.

Upon further review by the examiner, the restriction is withdrawn and claims 1-41 are examined in the application. Claims 1, 14, 19, 26, and 33 are independent claims.

Claim Objections

- 3. Claims 13 and 22 are objected to because of the following informalities:
 - a. "an alphanumeric" (claim 13) should probably read "an alphanumeric character"; and
 - b. "associate a particular label adds a link" (claim 22) should probably read"associate a particular label with a link".Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

4. 35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

Art Unit: 2176

5. Claims 1-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed

to non-statutory subject matter.

Claims 1-13 need to be directed towards a "computer-implemented" method. The claim

limitations are not explicitly directed toward steps being implemented on a computer,

computer readable medium, or other statutory device. As such, they could be carried out

mentally in conjunction with pen and paper. The claimed steps do not define a machine

or computer implemented process (see MPEP 2106). Therefore, the claimed invention is

directed to non-statutory subject mater.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all

obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains.

Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

7. Claims 1-41 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Young et al.

(U.S. 6,185,560 – filed 04/1998, as cited by Applicant's IDS filed 01/22/2002) in view of

Sotomayor (U.S. 5,708,825 – filed 05/26/1995).

As to independent claim 1:

Art Unit: 2176

a. Young teaches a method for navigating summarized textual data (Abstract), comprising:

- (i) receiving a portion of text (Abstract & col.3, lines 30-32);
- (ii) comparing the data with the portion of text to identify a match (col.3, lines 45-47);
- (iii) generating an entry responsive to the match (Abstract & col.3, lines 35-38); and
- (iii) inserting the entry in a data summary (Abstract).
- b. Young does not explicitly teach "transforming data from a text format to a hypertext markup language format and associating the entry with the contents of the transformed data responsible for the match."
- c. Sotomayor teaches transforming data from a text format to a hypertext markup language format and associating the entry with the contents of the transformed data responsible for the match (col.11, line 60-col.12, line 9).
- d. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to include the feature from Sotomayor in the system of Young because it would have provided the capability for automatically generating homepages containing various types of index information and the associated hyperlinks to other information located on the Internet and the Web.

As to dependent claim 2:

Young teaches reading a string of text from a data storage device (col.3, lines 16-20).

As to dependent claim 3:

Art Unit: 2176

Young teaches reading a string of text from an input device (col.4, lines 40-45).

As to dependent claim 4:

Young teaches concatenating a string of text to the data responsible for the match to a label (e.g., concatenation ... TEXT fields; col.12, line 64-col.13, line 46).

As to dependent claim 5:

Young teaches the label is reflective of a level of importance (col.6, lines 56-64).

As to dependent claim 6:

Young teaches the level of importance is indicated via a color (col.10, lines 20-33).

As to dependent claim 7:

Young teaches the level of importance is indicated via a label (col.1, lines 23-27).

As to dependent claim 8:

Young teaches the string of text includes language indicative of a condition requiring correction (col.8, lines 1-27).

As to dependent claim 9:

Young teaches adding a pointer indicative of the location of the string of text within the data (Abstract & col.3, lines 4-6).

As to dependent claim 10:

- a. Sotomayor teaches the pointer comprises a hypertext markup language link (col.4, lines 22-34).
- It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to include the feature from Sotomayor in the system of
 Young because it would have provided the capability for automatically generating

Page 5

homepages containing various types of index information and the associated hyperlinks to other information located on the Internet and the Web.

As to dependent claim 11:

Young teaches changing a characteristic of both the data and the entry in the data summary (col.14, lines 35-46).

As to dependent claim 12:

Young teaches the characteristic is selected from the group consisting of color, font, font size, bold text, italicized text, and underlined text (col.9, lines 47-58).

As to dependent claim 13:

Young teaches adding an alphanumeric to the data and the entry in the data summary (col.8, lines 9-27).

As to independent claim 14:

It is directed to a system for performing the method of claim 1, and is similarly rejected under the same rationale. Additionally, Young further teaches means for compiling a static on the match (col.15, lines 56-64).

As to dependent claim 15:

Young teaches reporting the statistic (col.15, lines 56-64).

As to dependent claim 16:

- a. Sotomayor teaches applying a hypertext markup language link between the statistic and an instance of the string in the match (col.11, lines 19-34).
- b. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to include the feature from Sotomayor in the system of

Art Unit: 2176

Young because it would have provided the capability for automatically generating homepages containing various types of index information and the associated hyperlinks to other information located on the Internet and the Web.

As to dependent claim 17:

Young teaches comparing receives a previously stored string (col. 15, lines 21-47).

As to dependent claim 18:

Young teaches comparing receives a string via an input device (col.4, lines 39-55).

As to independent claim 19:

- a. It is directed to a computer-readable medium for implementing the method of claim 1, and is similarly rejected under the same rationale. Additionally, Young further teaches:
 - (i) locate a text string within the text data (col.2, lines 3-19);
 - (ii) log located text strings, wherein each occurrence of a particular text string is associated with an indicator (col.8, lines 35-48);
 - (iii) register a respective label in a text data summary (col.5, lines 1-8 and Fig.2); and
 - (iv) associate a particular label with occurrences of the particular text string located within the text data (col.5, lines 9-27).

As to dependent claim 21:

Young teaches register a respective label concatenates the number of occurrences of the particular text string to the label to generate a summary entry (col.13, lines 1-46).

As to dependent claim 22:

Art Unit: 2176

Page 8

Young teaches associate a particular label adds a link (Abstract).

As to dependent claim 23:

It includes the same limitations as in claim 11, and is similarly rejected under the same

rationale.

As to dependent claim 24:

It includes the same limitations as in claim 13, and is similarly rejected under the same

rationale.

As to dependent claim 25:

Refer to discussion of claim 10 for rejection.

As to independent claim 26:

It is directed to a computer system for performing the method of claim 1, and is similarly

rejected under the same rationale. Additionally, Young further teaches a processor (21;

Fig. 1); an execution memory (22; Fig. 1), a text enhancer application (col.4, lines 46-50),

a query engine (Fig. 3C), a content reporting engine (Fig. 3A); and a data indexing engine

(Fig. 3B).

As to dependent claim 27:

Young teaches the query engine is configured to locate a match between a previously

stored text string and the text data (col.2, lines 15-32).

As to dependent claim 28:

Young teaches the query engine is configured to locate a match between a user entered

text string and the text data (col. 14, lines 15-47).

As to dependent claim 29:

Art Unit: 2176

Young teaches a formatting engine configured to insert an entry in a data summary responsive to a number of occurrences of the match (col.8, lines 36-48).

As to dependent claim 30:

It includes the same limitations as in claim 11, and is similarly rejected under the same rationale.

As to dependent claim 31:

It includes the same limitations as in claim 13, and is similarly rejected under the same rationale.

As to dependent claim 32:

a. Sotomayor teaches the formatting engine is configured to insert a hypertext markup language link between the text data and the entry (Abstract and col.4, lines 22-43).

b. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to include the feature from Sotomayor in the system of Young because it would have provided the capability for automatically generating homepages containing various types of index information and the associated hyperlinks to other information located on the Internet and the Web.

As to independent claim 33:

a. The rejection of claim 1 above is incorporated herein in full. Additionally, Young further teaches:

(ii) associating a summary label with the text string (col.1, lines 39-41);

Art Unit: 2176

(iii) accessing a text file containing a plurality of lines of textual information (col.3, lines 45-46);

- (iv) determining if each of the plurality of lines contains the text string, wherein when a line of textual information contains the text string, the line of textual information is added to the summary label to generate a summary line in the report (col.7, line 64-col.8, line 34);
- (vi) accessing the text file containing a plurality of lines of textual information (col.3, lines 45-46);
- (vii) determining if each of the plurality of lines contains the text string, wherein when a line of textual information does not contain the text string (col.14, lines 16-64), and concatenated to the summary line in the report and when a line of textual information does contain the text string, that associates the line of textual information to the summary line, the line of textual information containing the text string appended to the report (col.12, line 64-col.13, line 30).
- b. Young does not explicitly teach "the line of textual information is translated to an HTML format."
- c. Sotomayor teaches the line of textual information is translated to an HTML format ((col.11, line 60- col.12, line 9).
- d. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to include the feature from Sotomayor in the system of Young because it would have provided a more usable document which can be

Art Unit: 2176

viewed by a document viewer program such a word-processor program or a web browser program.

As to dependent claim 34:

- a. Sotomayor teaches inserting an hypertext markup language file header (col. 19, lines 34-60).
- b. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to include the feature from Sotomayor in the system of Young because it would have provided the capability for automatically generating homepages containing various types of index information and the associated hyperlinks to other information located on the Internet and the Web.

As to dependent claim 35:

- a. Sotomayor teaches the HTML file header is inserted before the summary lines in the report (col.19, line 61-col.20, line 7).
- b. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to include the feature from Sotomayor in the system of Young because it would have provided the capability for automatically generating homepages containing various types of index information and the associated hyperlinks to other information located on the Internet and the Web.

As to dependent claim 36:

a. Sotomayor teaches inserting an hypertext markup language file footer (col. 20, lines 39-64).

Art Unit: 2176

b. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to include the feature from Sotomayor in the system of Young because it would have provided the capability for automatically generating homepages containing various types of index information and the associated hyperlinks to other information located on the Internet and the Web.

As to dependent claim 37:

- a. Sotomayor teaches the HTML file footer is inserted after the plurality of lines have been appended to the report (col.20, line 65-col.21, line 19).
- b. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to include the feature from Sotomayor in the system of Young because it would have provided the capability for automatically generating homepages containing various types of index information and the associated hyperlinks to other information located on the Internet and the Web.

As to dependent claims 38-40:

They include the same limitations as in claims 11-13, and are similarly rejected under the same rationale.

As to dependent claim 41:

- a. Sotomayor teaches a link (col.4, lines 22-34).
- It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to include the feature from Sotomayor in the system of
 Young because it would have provided the capability for automatically generating

Art Unit: 2176

homepages containing various types of index information and the associated hyperlinks to other information located on the Internet and the Web.

Conclusion

8. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Mase et al.

U.S. Patent No. 5,978,820

issued: Nov. 2, 1999

Page 13

Myers et al.

U.S. Patent No. 6,259,956

issued: Jul. 10, 2001

Gay.

U.S. Patent No. 6,792,145

issued: Sep. 14, 2004

Gorelick et al.

U.S. Publication 2002/0107882 A1 Pub. Date: Aug. 8, 2002

Bera

U.S. Publication 2002/0147705 A1 Pub. Date: Oct. 10, 2002

Dvorak et al., "A Methodology for User Centered Link Structures for Textbook to Hypertext Conversion", IEEE, 1992, pp.619-628.

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Maikhanh Nguyen whose telephone number is (571) 272-4093. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday from 9:00am - 5:30 pm. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Joseph H Feild can be reached on (571) 272-4090.

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 2176

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business

Maikhanh Nguyen May 30, 2005

Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

WILLIAM BASHORE
PRIMARY EXAMINER

Page 14

May 31,2005